

Comment on: “Mode repulsion and mode coupling in random lasers”

L. I. Deych

Physics Department, Queens College of City University of New York, Flushing, NY 11367

In recent paper Cao et al. [Phys. Rev. B **67**, 161101 (R) (2003)] reported an observation of what is the first genuine multi-mode behavior in random lasers. They observed a splitting of a single lasing line into two lines with close frequencies when pumping is increased beyond a certain threshold. Here we are pointing out that the qualitative interpretation of these experiments given in that paper is misleading.

PACS numbers: 71.55.Jv, 42.55.-f, 42.25.Bs

In the recent paper Cao, Jiang, Ling, Xu, and Soukoulis (CJLXS) presented the results of experimental and theoretical studies of multi-mode behavior in random lasers.¹ The key experimental result of that paper was the observation of the splitting of a single lasing mode into two lines. A frequency spacing between the new lines was smaller than the homogeneous line width of the respective optical transitions. Besides it was found that the temporal behavior of the split-off modes was synchronized. These experimental findings are the first demonstration of a true multi-mode behavior in random lasers, and are, therefore, of great interest. However, it seems to us that the theoretical part of Ref.1 does not give a correct physical understanding of these results. The qualitative interpretation of the experimental results, and subsequent numerical simulations is given by CJLXS in terms of the mode coupling. The CJLXS state that the gain competition, which would not allow several modes to lase simultaneously, can be overcome by a coupling between the modes. The nature of this coupling was not explicitly specified; it was described in rather vague and non-specific terms of photon hopping and re-emission. If, however, the term coupling refers to the well-known, in laser physics, non-linear coupling due to cross-saturation, we would like to point out that this interpretation is in contradiction with well established properties of laser oscillations.

According to standard laser theory², the mode competition arises because of the non-linear mode coupling. This coupling manifests itself through cross-saturation terms in the equations of the lasing dynamics. In the simplest case of a two-mode laser, neglecting fast oscillating terms, one can present these equations in the well-known form²

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{I}_1 &= 2I_1(\alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \theta_{12}I_2) \\ \dot{I}_2 &= 2I_2(\alpha_2 - \beta_2 - \theta_{21}I_1),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where $I_{1,2}$ are dimensionless intensities of the respective modes, and $\alpha_{1,2}, \beta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,2}$ are linear net gain, self-saturation, and cross-saturation coefficients respectively. The latter is responsible for the mode competition *due to* mode coupling. The simple linear stability analysis presented in many textbooks on lasing dynamics, for instance, in Ref.2, shows that the dynamics of the modes

depends significantly on the quantity

$$C = \frac{\theta_{12}\theta_{21}}{\beta_1\beta_2} \quad (2)$$

called a coupling coefficient in Ref.2. When the coupling is so strong that $C > 1$, only single mode oscillations are stable, and one of the intensities $I_{1,2}$ is always equal to zero. In the case of weak coupling, $C < 1$, on the contrary, two mode solutions are allowed to emerge, when the pumping exceeds certain threshold value. Thus, the non-linear coupling facilitates the mode competition rather than quenches it, contrary to what was assumed in Ref.1. In the light of this conclusion, the consecutive arguments of CJLXS explaining the temporal synchronization of the modes does not seem to be relevant as well.

The simplest, but not the only possible one, interpretation of the experimental results of Ref.1, is that while most of the spatially overlapping modes in random lasers are strongly coupled, and, therefore, the radiation from all but one mode is inhibited, the coupling between some modes is weakened through the mechanism of the spatial hole burning.² As a result, on some rare occasions, two mode oscillations become possible. In order to see how the spatial hole burning manifests itself in random lasers, we derived equations similar to Eq.(1) taking into account the inhomogeneous nature of the background dielectric function $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$, and assuming that eigen modes, $f_{1,2}(\mathbf{r})$, of the passive dielectric medium are known. The expression for the coupling coefficient, C , was found in this case to have the following form

$$C \propto \frac{[\int \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) f_1^2(\mathbf{r}) f_2^2(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}]^2}{\int \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) f_1^4(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \int \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) f_2^4(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}} \quad (3)$$

The presence of $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ in these integrals reflects a significant difference between regular cavity lasers with a uniform background dielectric coefficient, and random lasers. If the modes are well separated spatially, the integral in the numerator of Eq.(3) is small and $C < 1$. In this case we have the typical behavior most frequently observed in random lasers: many modes lase simultaneously at different parts of the sample (see Ref.1 and references therein). The case under discussion, however, corresponds to the situation when the overlap of the modes

is rather strong, and the strength of the coupling depends upon the intricate details of the spatial distribution of the dielectric function and the eigen mode functions. Since the two-mode behavior is observed only in very few cases, the experimental results of Ref.1 indicate that it is much more likely that the modes, which overlap are coupled strongly, and only for a few rare configurations, the cou-

pling coefficient drops below unity. In order to verify the correctness of this assumption careful analysis of statistical properties of parameter C , defined by Eq.(3) is required.

This work is partially supported by AFOSR grant F49620-02-1-0305.

¹ H. Cao, X. Jiang, Y. Ling, J. Xu, and C. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 161101(R) (2003).

² M. Sargent, M. Scully, and W. Lamb, *Laser Physics*

(Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1974).